

Projectivity and unification in the varieties of locally finite monadic MV -algebras

Antonio Di Nola¹, Revaz Grigolia^{2*}, and Giacomo Lenzi³

¹ University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy
adinola@unisa.it

² Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
revaz.grigolia359@gmail.com

³ University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy
gilenzi@unisa.it

Abstract

A description of finitely generated free monadic MV -algebras and a characterization of projective monadic MV -algebras in locally finite varieties is given. It is shown that unification type of locally finite varieties is unitary.

1 Introduction

Monadic MV -algebras (monadic Chang algebras by Rutledge's terminology) were introduced and studied by Rutledge in [5] as an algebraic model for the predicate calculus qL of Lukasiewicz infinite-valued logic, in which only a single individual variable occurs. Rutledge followed P.R. Halmos' study of monadic Boolean algebras. In view of the incompleteness of the predicate calculus the result of Rutledge in [5], showing the completeness of the monadic predicate calculus, has been a great interest. Adapting for the propositional case the axiomatization of monadic MV -algebras given by Rutledge in [5], we can define modal Lukasiewicz propositional calculus $MLPC$ as a logic which contains Lukasiewicz propositional calculus Luk , the formulas as the axioms schemes: $\alpha \rightarrow \exists\alpha$, $\exists(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv \exists\alpha \vee \exists\beta$, $\exists(\neg\exists\alpha) \equiv \neg\exists\alpha$, $\exists(\exists\alpha + \exists\beta) \equiv \exists\alpha + \exists\beta$, $\exists(\alpha + \alpha) = \exists\alpha + \exists\alpha$, $\exists(\alpha \cdot \alpha) = \exists\alpha \cdot \exists\alpha$ and closed under modus ponens and necessitation (if α , then $\forall\alpha$, where $\forall\alpha = \neg\exists\neg\alpha$).

Let L denote a first-order language based on $\cdot, +, \rightarrow, \neg, \exists$ and L_m denotes monadic propositional language based on $\cdot, +, \rightarrow, \neg, \exists$ and $Form(L)$ and $Form(L_m)$ - the set of all formulas of L and L_m , respectively. We fix a variable x in L , associate with each propositional letter p in L_m a unique monadic predicate $p^*(x)$ in L and define by induction a translation $\Psi : Form(L_m) \rightarrow Form(L)$ by putting: $\Psi(p) = p^*(x)$ if p is propositional variable, $\Psi(\alpha \circ \beta) = \Psi(\alpha) \circ \Psi(\beta)$, where $\circ = \cdot, +, \rightarrow$, $\Psi(\exists\alpha) = \exists\Psi(\alpha)$.

Through this translation Ψ , we can identify the formulas of L_m with monadic formulas of L containing the variable x . Moreover, it is routine to check that $\Psi(MLPC) \subseteq QL$.

2 Monadic MV -algebras

The characterization of monadic MV -algebras as pair of MV -algebras, where one of them is a special kind of subalgebra, are given in [3, 2]. MV -algebras were introduced by Chang in [1] as an algebraic model for infinitely valued Lukasiewicz logic.

*The author is supported by the grant CNRS-SNRSF N 09/09 and the grant SNRSF N 31/08.

An MV -algebra is an algebra $A = (A, \oplus, \odot, *, 0, 1)$ where $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is an abelian monoid, and the following identities hold for all $x, y \in A$: $x \oplus 1 = 1, x^{**} = x, 0^* = 1, x \oplus x^* = 1, (x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (x \oplus y^*) \oplus x, x \odot y = (x^* \oplus y^*)^*$.

An algebra $A = (A, \oplus, \odot, *, \exists, 0, 1)$ is said to be monadic MV -algebra (for short MMV -algebra) if $A = (A, \oplus, \odot, *, 0, 1)$ is an MV -algebra and in addition \exists satisfies the following identities: $x \leq \exists x, \exists(x \vee y) = \exists x \vee \exists y, \exists(\exists x)^* = (\exists x)^*, \exists(\exists x \oplus \exists y) = \exists x \oplus \exists y, \exists(x \odot y) = \exists x \odot \exists y, \exists(x \oplus y) = \exists x \oplus \exists y$.

We shall denote a monadic MV -algebra $A = (A, \oplus, \odot, *, \exists, 0, 1)$ by (A, \exists) , for brevity. Let $\exists A = \{x \in A : x = \exists x\}$.

A subalgebra A_0 of an MV -algebra A is said to be relatively complete if for every $a \in A$ the set $\{b \in A_0 : a \leq b\}$ has the least element.

A subalgebra A_0 of an MV -algebra A is said to be m -relatively complete, if A_0 is relatively complete and two additional conditions hold:

- (#) $(\forall a \in A)(\forall x \in A_0)(\exists v \in A_0)(x \geq a \odot a \Rightarrow v \geq a \& v \odot v \leq x)$,
 (##) $(\forall a \in A)(\forall x \in A_0)(\exists v \in A_0)(x \geq a \oplus a \Rightarrow v \geq a \& v \oplus v \leq x)$.

Proposition 1. [3]. *Let $(A, \oplus, \odot, *, \exists, 0, 1)$ be a monadic MV -algebra. Then the MV -subalgebra $\exists A$ of MV -algebra $(A, \oplus, \odot, *, 0, 1)$ is m -relatively complete.*

Proposition 2. [3]. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between.*

- (1) monadic MV -algebras (A, \exists) ;
- (2) the pairs (A, A_0) , where A_0 is m -relatively complete subalgebra of A .

3 Projective monadic MV -algebras

From the variety of monadic MV -algebras MMV select the subvariety \mathbf{K}_n for $1 \leq n \neq \omega$, which is defined by the following equation [3]: $(K_n) \ x^n = x^{n+1}$, that is $\mathbf{K}_n = MMV + (K_n)$.

Proposition 3.[3] *If (A, \exists) is a totally ordered monadic MV -algebra, then $A = \exists A$.*

Proposition 4.[3] *If (A, \exists) is a finite monadic MV -algebra with totally ordered $\exists A$, then MV -algebra A is isomorphic to a product of totally ordered MV -algebras $A_i, i \in I, A_i \cong \exists A$ and $\exists A$ is isomorphic to the diagonal subalgebra of the product.*

It is defined a unique monadic operator \exists on S_n^k , where $S_n = (S_n; \oplus, \odot, *, 0, 1)$ and $S_n = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$, which corresponds to m -relatively complete linearly ordered MV -subalgebra, converting the algebra S_n^k into a simple monadic MV -algebra [3]. This subalgebra coincides with the greatest diagonal subalgebra, i.e. $d(S_n^k) = \{(x, \dots, x) \in S_n^k : x \in S_n\}$. Denote this monadic MV -algebra by (S_n^k, \exists_d) . In this case the monadic operator \exists_d is defined as follows: $\exists_d(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_j, \dots, x_j)$, where $x_j = \max(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. The operator \forall_d is defined dually: $\forall_d(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_i, \dots, x_i)$, where $x_i = \min(x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

Notice that \mathbf{K}_n is generated by (S_p^k, \exists_d) , $p = 1, \dots, n$ and $k \in \omega$. Moreover, \mathbf{K}_n is locally finite and there exists maximal $k \in \omega$, depending on n , such that (S_n^k, \exists_d) is m -generated. The maximal k we denote by $t(n)$. There exists also a positive number $r(k, n)$ depending on k and n such that $(S_n^k, \exists_d)^{r(k, n)}$ is m -generated. So,

Theorem 5.

$$\prod_{p=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{t(p)} (S_p^k, \exists_d)^{t(k, p)}$$

is a free m -generated algebra $F_{\mathbf{K}_n}(m)$ in the variety \mathbf{K}_n .

Let us notice, that exact description of one-generated free MMV -algebra in the variety \mathbf{K}_n is given in [3].

Theorem 6. *The m -generated MMV -algebra A from \mathbf{K}_n is projective iff A is isomorphic to $(S_1^1, \exists_d) \times A'$.*

Theorem 7. *Any subalgebra of the free m -generated algebra $F_{\mathbf{K}_n}(m)$ is projective.*

Let \mathbf{V}_n be the variety generated by $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$.

Let us observe that

$$\prod_{p=1}^n (S_p^1, \exists)^{t(1,p)}$$

is an algebra with trivial monadic operator \exists (i. e. $\exists x = x$) which is isomorphic as an MV -algebra to the m -generated free MV -algebra $F_{\mathbf{V}_n}(m)$. Denote this algebra as $(F_{\mathbf{V}_n}(m), \exists)$. It holds

Theorem 8. *The MMV -algebra $(F_{\mathbf{V}_n}(m), \exists)$ is a retract of the algebra of the free m -generated algebra $F_{\mathbf{K}_n}(m)$. So, $(F_{\mathbf{V}_n}(m), \exists)$ is projective.*

4 Monadic operators on finite MV -algebras

Suppose that A is a finite MV -algebra. Then $A \cong S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ where the $n_i \geq 1$. Let $\Pi = \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m\}$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We shall say that Π is homogeneous if $i, j \in K_l$ implies $S_{n_i} = S_{n_j}$. Given such a Π , each K_i has associated a unique S_{n_j} which we shall denote by A_i . We clearly have $A \cong A_1^{K_1} \times \dots \times A_m^{K_m}$. Since each K_i is finite, there is a monadic operator \exists_i defined on $A_i^{K_i}$ such that $(A_i^{K_i}, \exists_i)$ is an MMV -algebra with $\exists_i(A_i^{K_i}) = A_i$. Setting $\exists = \exists_1 \times \dots \times \exists_m$ and acting pointwise, we obtain a monadic operator \exists on A , that is, (A, \exists) is an MMV -algebra. If a $K_i \in \Pi$ has at least two members, then determined the monadic operator will not be trivial, that is will not be the identity operator.

Proposition 9.[2] *Suppose that A is a finite MV -algebra, say $A = S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$.*

(i) *For each homogeneous partition $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there is a monadic operator defined on A . Conversely, each monadic operator defined on A is determined by some homogeneous partition of $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m\}$.*

(ii) *If $A = S_n^k$, then any partition on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ determines a monadic operator on A and conversely, each monadic operator on A comes from some partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.*

5 Unification problem

Let \mathbf{V} be a variety of algebras and $F_{\mathbf{V}}(m)$ m -generated free algebra over the variety \mathbf{V} . Recall that an algebra A of \mathbf{V} is finitely presented if it is a quotient of the form $A = F_{\mathbf{V}}(m)/\theta$, with θ a finitely generated congruence. Following [4], by an algebraic unification problem we mean a finitely presented algebra A of \mathbf{V} . An algebraic unifier for A is a homomorphism $u : A \rightarrow P$ with P a m -generated projective algebra in \mathbf{V} and A is algebraically unifiable if such an algebraic unifier exists. Given another algebraic unifier $w : A \rightarrow Q$, we say that u is more general than w , written $w \preceq u$, if there is a homomorphism $g : P \rightarrow Q$ such that $w = gu$. The algebraic unification type of an algebraically unifiable finitely presented algebra A in the variety \mathbf{V} is

now defined exactly as in the symbolic case, using the partially order \leq induced by the quasi-order \preceq . Let $U_{\mathbf{V}}(P)$ be the set of unifiers $\sigma : F_{\mathbf{V}}(m) \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{V}}(m)$ for the unification problem $P(x_1, \dots, x_m)$; it is a quasi-ordered set. The problem $P(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is solvable iff $U_{\mathbf{V}}(P) \neq \emptyset$. Let (Σ, \leq) be a poset, where \leq is the ordering induced by the quasi-ordering identifying the equivalence classes with its elements. $Max\Sigma$ is said to be *basis* of unifiers for P .

We say that an equational theory E has:

1. Unification type 1 iff for every solvable unification problem P , $Card(Max\Sigma) = 1$.
2. Unification type ω iff for every solvable unification problem P , $Card(Max\Sigma) = n \neq 1$, $n \in \omega$.
3. Unification type ∞ iff for every solvable unification problem P , $Card(Max\Sigma)$ is infinite.
4. Unification type nullary, if none of the preceding cases applies.

We say that \mathbf{V} has finitary unification type iff it has type 1 or ω .

Theorem 10. *The unification type of the equational class \mathbf{K}_n is 1, i. e. unitary.*

References

- [1] C. C. Chang, *Algebraic Analysis of Many-Valued Logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88(1958), 467-490.
- [2] L.P. Belluce, R. Grigolia and A. Lettieri, *Representations of monadic MV- algebras*, Studia Logica, vol. 81, Issue October 15th, 2005, pp. 125-144.
- [3] A. Di Nola, R. Grigolia, *On Monadic MV-algebras*, APAL, Vol. 128, Issues 1-3 (August 2004), pp. 125-139.
- [4] S. Ghilardi, *Unification through projectivity*, J. Logic Comput., 7(6),733-752, 1997.
- [5] J.D. Rutledge, *A preliminary investigation of the infinitely many-valued predicate calculus*, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, 1959.