

EPiC Series in Health Sciences Volume 7, 2024, Pages 189–192

Proceedings of The 24th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Computer Assisted Orthopaedic Surgery



Accuracy and Precision Evaluation of Imagebased Computer Assisted Surgical System for Total Ankle Arthroplasty

Matt Rueff¹, Zach Tupper¹, Matt Hamilton¹, Prudhvi Chinimilli¹, Scott Gulbransen¹, Laureline Prouvost², Edward Haupt³, Laurent Angibaud¹ ¹Exactech, Gainesville, FL, USA ²Blue Ortho, Meylan, France ³Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, USA <u>matt.rueff@exac.com</u>

Abstract

Computer Assisted Surgical (CAS) systems have been used successfully in joint arthroplasty to improve the accuracy of resections across multiple joint surgeries including hips, knees and shoulders. A Total Ankle Arthroplasty (TAA) application for CAS system was developed the intent of facilitating the procedure and improving accuracy of bone resections in the foot and ankle and evaluated for accuracy using artificial ankle joint specimens. The results demonstrate resections with errors of less than 2mm and 2° and therefore the system can offer both accurate and precise intraoperative surgical resection measurements during computer-assisted TAA.

1 Introduction

Computer Assisted Surgical (CAS) systems have been used successfully in joint arthroplasty to improve the accuracy of resections. CAS usage leads to reduced outliers and improved targeted alignment of orthopedic implants [1,2]. Total ankle arthroplasty (TAA) is a surgical treatment for endstage ankle osteoarthritis, and the latest generation of TAA is associated with favorable clinical outcomes as a modern alternative to ankle arthrodesis [3]. Alignment of the implants during TAA is challenging because of limited surgical exposure and reliance on fluoroscopic alignment. Therefore, a TAA application for CAS system was developed using CT-based alignment alongside required fluoroscopy with the intent of facilitating the procedure and improving accuracy of bone resections. The objective of the study was to evaluate the accuracy and precision of the TAA CAS system.

J.W. Giles and A. Guezou-Philippe (eds.), CAOS 2024 (EPiC Series in Health Sciences, vol. 7), pp. 189–192

Accuracy and Precision Evaluation of Image-based CA Surgical System...

2 Methods

TAA was performed by a board-certified, fellowship-trained orthopedic surgeon on twelve artificial ankle joint specimens (PN1132-3, Pacific Research) using a CAS system (ExactechGPS, Blue-Ortho) featuring a dedicated ankle application. Video tracking was performed to confirm surgical technique was standardized for all specimens. Scans of each of the twelve specimens were performed before TAA using a structured light industrial scanner (Comet L3D, Steinbichler) used for assessing surface profiles with an accuracy better than 50µm [4]. From the initial scan, a DICOM series representative of the CAS system recommended CT scan protocol was created from each model was segmented, and a model coordinate system was created corresponding to the bony anatomy and mechanical axis of the specimen's tibia and talus. During the simulated surgery, active trackers were fixed to each specimen's tibia and talus to allow registration of the anatomical landmarks. Bone resections were individually virtually planned by the surgeon performing the operation using template software to choose appropriate implant position and size relative to the bony anatomy. Conventional cutting guides were then guided into place according to the template using the CAS system. Resections on the talus included a flat cut with three degrees of freedom (e.g. varus, slope, and cut height), whereas tibial resections included distal and medial cuts with five degrees of freedom (e.g. varus, slope, axial rotation, medial offset and cut height) (Figure 1). Finally, in a similar way to previously executed peer reviewed knee arthroplasty studies [5, 6], the resected bones were scanned and overlaid with the initial model for assessment of the error relative to the original plan.



Figure 1: Example tibial and talar plans (left and middle respectively) and subsequent execution (right)

3 Results

For all eight angular and positional cut parameters across both the tibia and the talus, the mean signed overall intraobserver error was less than 2mm and 2° relative to the plan, and the 95% confidence interval was less than 2mm and 2° (Table 1). Both angular and positional overall errors on the tibia were less than 1mm and 1° relative to the plan according to the mean and 95% confidence intervals. One deviation to surgical technique was identified with video tracking: The talar fixator was not tightened on specimen five which leading to tracker movement during talus resection. Therefore, the data from specimen five was removed from the analysis since the operative technique for the TAA navigation system was not followed.

Parameter/Specimen	Tibial	Tibial	Tibial	Tibial cut	Tibial	Talar	Talar	Talar cut
	varus	closed	IR (°)	height	medial	varus	slope	height
	(°)	slope (°)		(mm)	offset	(°)	(mm)	(mm)
					(mm)			
Mean	-0.22	0.51	0.10	-0.14	-0.15	0.24	1.32	0.63
Lower CI (95%)	-0.79	-0.02	-0.58	-0.62	-0.74	-0.55	0.76	0.28
Upper CI (95%)	0.34	1.03	0.79	0.34	0.45	1.03	1.87	0.99
Specimen 1	-0.40	0.70	1.90	-0.07	-1.22	2.10	0.05	0.47
Specimen 2	1.50	1.70	0.01	0.73	0.34	0.95	0.40	0.24
Specimen 3	1.00	0.70	-0.90	-0.54	-0.13	2.18	2.57	1.28
Specimen 4	0.20	1.40	-0.05	0.11	-0.22	-1.15	1.80	0.64
Specimen 6	-1.00	1.35	-1.32	0.26	1.48	0.38	1.43	1.01
Specimen 7	-0.60	0.60	-0.01	1.05	0.08	-0.85	2.10	0.47
Specimen 8	-1.10	-0.70	0.21	-0.92	0.29	-1	0.88	0.52
Specimen 9	-0.20	0.20	-0.70	-0.08	0.38	0.38	0.85	0.96
Specimen 10	-1.10	-0.60	-0.60	-1.43	0.18	0.39	1.50	-0.19
Specimen 11	-0.55	0.10	1.50	-0.10	-1.35	0.16	0.60	0.02
Specimen 12	-0.20	0.10	1.1	-0.56	-1.44	-0.93	2.30	1.55

Table 1: Differences between planned resection and final measured resection, overall error

4 Discussion

The results of the study show that the bone resections using the evaluated TAA application for CAS were associated with satisfactory accuracy level compared to conventional mechanical instruments and PSI blocks which have demonstrated accuracy of 2° and 1.4mm [7,8]. For the specimens in this study, the accuracy of the tibial cut was better than the accuracy of the talar cut. This was likely due to the volume of bone available for stabilizing the resection guides with bone pins. The talar bone requires two pins for tracker fixation and then two pins for block stability, but more stabilizing pin options are available on the tibial guide. Regarding limitations, in vivo, more mass and stability is present because of the surrounding soft tissues, so a solid foot with stabilized calcaneus was used for the study instead of an independent talus bone to mitigate vibrations from the saw. Future work should consider additional surgeon users, cadaver specimens with ankle arthritis and/or deformity, and comparison to patient-specific instrumentation (PSI) and traditional conventional techniques. The results point to resections with errors of less than 2mm and 2° and therefore the system can offer both accurate and precise intraoperative surgical resection measurements during computer-assisted TAA.

References

- Shatrov et al. "Computer and robotic assisted total knee arthroplasty: a review of outcomes" Journal of Experimental Orthopedics, 70. (2020).
- [2] Li e al. "Robotic Systems and Navigation Techniques in Orthopedics: A Historical Review" Journal of Applied Sciences, 13(17), 9768 (2020)
- [3] Burgesson et al. "Clinical outcome results of total ankle replacement and ankle arthrodesis: a pilot randomized controlled trial." Orthopaedic Proceedings 102-B, no. SUPP_8 (August 1, 2020): 58–58. https://doi.org/10.1302/1358-992X.2020.8.058.
- [4] Angibaud et al. "Evaluation of bone deformity on accuracy of a computer-assisted guidance system for total knee arthroplasty" Special Lecture 1; CAOS Korea 2014
- [5] Angibaud et al "Evaluation of the Accuracy and Precision of a Next Generation Computer-Assisted Surgical System" Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery 2015 Jun; 7(2): 225–233,
- [6] Angibaud et al. "Accuracy of a Novel Computer-Assisted Guidance System for Total Knee Arthroplasty" BJJ,Orthopedic Proceedings ISTA; 95-B, 2013,

Accuracy and Precision Evaluation of Image-based CA Surgical System...

- [7] Berlet et al. "Toal Ankle Arthroplasty Accuracy and Reproducibility Using Preoperative CT Scan-Derived, Patient-Specific Guides" Foot Ankle International. 2014 Jul;35(7):665-76
- [8] Biela et al. "An Analysis of 50 Consecutive Total Ankle Replacements Undergoing Preoperative Computerized Tomography Scan-Based, Engineer-Provided Planning From a Single Noninventor, Nonconsultant Surgeon" The Journal of Foo and Ankle Surgery, 62(2), 228-236 (2023)