



A Critical Examination of the Fundamental Distinctions and Practical Implementations of Personalized, Adaptive, Customized Learning, and Individualized Instruction Within the Context of Language Education

---

Lailatul Nurjanah, Siti Muthoharoh and Laela Hikmah Nurbatra

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

September 28, 2023

# A Critical Examination of the Fundamental Distinctions and Practical Implementations of Personalized, Adaptive, Customized Learning, and Individualized Instruction within the Context of Language Education

Lailatul Nurjanah

*Department of English, Faculty of Letters, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia.*  
[lailatul.nurjanah.2302218@students.um.ac.id](mailto:lailatul.nurjanah.2302218@students.um.ac.id)

Siti Muthoharoh

*Department of English, Faculty of Teacher and Training Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia.* [mumuthoharoh.siti@gmail.com](mailto:mumuthoharoh.siti@gmail.com)

Laela Hikmah Nurbatra\*

*Department of English, Faculty of Teacher and Training Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia.* [nurbatra@gmail.com](mailto:nurbatra@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT:** Education in the digital era has undergone significant transformation, giving rise to four distinct educational paradigms: Personalized Learning, Adaptive Learning, Customized Learning, and Individualized Instruction. This study aims to understand the fundamental differences and practical implementation of these paradigms in the context of language education. The research method employed is a literature review, utilizing scholarly articles in English from 2013 to 2023 relevant to the theme of differentiated learning. The results reveal fundamental differences in the definitions, historical foundations, key features, technology integration, and educational philosophies of these four approaches. Personalized Learning emphasizes adaptability and customization, while Adaptive Learning utilizes technology to dynamically adjust content. Customized Learning focuses on tailored instructional methods based on student characteristics, and Individualized Instruction emphasizes meeting individual needs. Understanding these differences is crucial for empowering educators, informing policymakers, and inspiring future research in the dynamic field of language education.

**KEYWORD:** Personalized Learning, Adaptive Learning, Customized Learning, and Individualized Instruction,

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, the dynamic interplay between pedagogical paradigms and technological advancements continues to shape the way we facilitate learning (Innovation, 2016). In particular, the digital age has ushered in a transformative era in which learners are no longer bound by the constraints of a one-size-fits-all approach to education (Shemshack and Spector, 2020). The emergence of personalized learning, adaptive learning, individualized instruction, and customized learning has redefined the educational milieu, offering a spectrum of tailored experiences that cater to the unique needs and preferences of learners (Bernacki, M. L., & Walkington, no date). Within the realm of language education, where linguistic diversity and individual learning trajectories are particularly pronounced, the exploration of these distinct yet interrelated paradigms become not only pertinent but imperative.

This research embarks on a multifaceted expedition aimed at comprehensively unraveling the fundamental differences and intricate applications of personalized learning, adaptive learning, individualized instruction, and customized learning within the intricate tapestry of language education. In an era marked by globalization and multiculturalism, the mastery of languages transcends the realms of mere communication, assuming a pivotal role in fostering cross-cultural understanding, enhancing cognitive abilities, and promoting socio-economic mobility (Woll and Wei, 2019; Zorlu and Hartog, 2021; Sangeethapriya *et al.*, 2022). As such, it is incumbent upon educators, researchers, and policymakers to discern and harness the pedagogical innovations that are most efficacious in facilitating linguistic proficiency and fluency (Herawati, 2023).

At its core, personalized learning represents a paradigm shift that recognizes the diverse cognitive, affective, and behavioral attributes of learners (Zhang, Basham and Yang, 2020). It champions the cultivation of individualized learning pathways, driven by data-driven insights and augmented by technology, enabling learners to embark on unique educational journeys (Essalmi *et al.*, 2010; Moreno-León, J., Robles, G., & Román-González, 2017). Conversely, adaptive learning leverages the power of algorithms and artificial intelligence to dynamically adjust content, pace, and difficulty levels in real-time, tailoring the learning experience to the learner's capabilities and progress (Kerr, 2016). Individualized instruction, on the other hand, hinges on a more hands-on, teacher-driven approach, wherein educators meticulously craft learning experiences that cater to the specific needs and aspirations of each learner (Waxman, H. C., Alford, B. L., Brown, D. B., Hattie, J., & Anderman, 2013). Finally, customized learning offers a holistic fusion of these approaches, merging personalization, adaptivity, and individualization into a seamless educational ecosystem (Shemshack and Spector, 2020).

The manifold dimensions of language acquisition, encompassing linguistic proficiency, cultural awareness, and communicative competence, demand nuanced pedagogical approaches that accommodate the idiosyncrasies of learners (Samuels, 2018; Ho and Lim, 2020). Therefore, this research seeks to dissect these pedagogical paradigms, elucidating their fundamental differences. Additionally, the article aims to explain the practical implementation of these paradigms in diverse linguistic contexts and educational environments, highlighting the promising opportunities that arise when one navigates the intersection of technology, pedagogy, and language acquisition.

In light of the ongoing digital transformation, it is imperative to scrutinize the implications of these paradigms for educators, learners, and policymakers alike. What are the fundamental differences between these interchangeable paradigms? How can educators harness the power of these paradigms to create truly transformative language learning experiences? This question forms the crux of our inquiry, driving our exploration into the nascent frontiers of language education.

Through literary investigation, this research endeavors to provide a comprehensive, nuanced, and forward-looking perspective on the role of personalized learning, adaptive learning, individualized instruction, and customized learning in shaping the future of language education. In doing so, we aim to empower educators, inform policymakers, and inspire future research endeavors that not only acknowledge the dynamism of education but also harness it to nurture linguistically proficient, culturally sensitive, and globally aware individuals.

This intricate and comprehensive research introduction sets the stage for a deep dive into the complexities of personalized, adaptive, individualized, and customized learning in the context of language education. It outlines the rationale for the study, the significance of the topic, and the multifaceted dimensions that the research aims to explore.

## 2 METHODS

This research employs the literature review method. A literature review is a focused examination of a specific topic of interest, critically analyzing the content of the studied texts. This literature review utilizes publications from 2013 to 2023 that are accessible in full-text PDF format and are scholarly (peer-reviewed journals) using Google Scholar. The reviewed journals meet the criteria of being research articles in English with a theme related to differentiated learning. Literature search is concentrated on the keywords "Personalized Learning," "Adaptive Learning," "Individualized Learning," and "Customized Learning." The total number of articles found for all of the keywords is 51 articles. As this literature review aims to understand the fundamental differences among Personalized, Adaptive, Individualized, and Customized Learning, the articles undergo identification (in-depth analysis of a subject), data screening, and eligibility determination, resulting in 29 articles that align with the literature research's objectives.

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Table Highlighting the Differences between Personalized Learning, Adaptive Learning, Customized Learning, And Individualized Instruction in the Context of Language Education

Aspect	Personalized Learning	Adaptive Learning	Customized Learning	Individualized Instruction
Definition	Tailors instruction to meet unique needs and objectives of learners.	Adapts learning content, presentation, and paths based on individual profiles and progress.	Develops tailored instructional methods and content based on individual characteristic and interests.	Focuses on meeting the specific needs and goals of individual learners.
Historical Foundation	Evolved from apprenticeships and mentoring.	Rooted in apprenticeship training and tutoring.	Part of a holistic educational framework.	Evolved from traditional one-on-one tutoring.
Key Features	Learner-centered, adaptability, and customization.	Computerized systems adapt content and presentation based on learner profiles.	Emphasizes adaptability and flexibility in instructional strategies and content.	Individualization, differentiation, and personalization of content
Technology Integration	Leverages technology, including big data and learning analytics.	Relies on technology for dynamic adjustments to content.	Utilizes technology to support learner-centered approaches.	Often involves technology for individualized educational plans (IEPs).
Lack of Consensus on Terminology	Lack of consensus on terminology and implementation.	Terminology used interchangeably with personalized learning.	Terminology used interchangeably with personalized learning.	Debate about whether it is a component or synonym for personalized learning.
Pedagogical Philosophies	Draws from progressive educational philosophy, learner-centered, and socially-oriented.	Influenced by learner-centered education and socially-oriented learning.	Aligns with learner-centered, experiential, and socially-oriented learning philosophies.	Prioritizes individual adaptation, differentiation, and personalization.

### 3.1 Personalized Learning

Personalized learning is an educational approach characterized by its emphasis on tailoring instruction to suit the unique needs and objectives of individual learners. It involves a dynamic and evolving process where students actively shape their learning experiences, and educators adjust their guidance accordingly (Shemshack and Spector, 2020).

In a similar vein, Walkington (2021) offers a complementary perspective, highlighting the adaptability and responsiveness of personalized learning. It involves aligning instruction with the pace, preferences, and specific interests of learners, ensuring a customized educational journey for each individual.

This concept of personalized learning has a historical foundation, dating back centuries to forms such as apprenticeships and mentoring. As educational technologies evolved, personalized learning took the shape of intelligent tutoring systems in the latter half of the 20th century. In the 21st century, the advent of big data and learning analytics is poised to reshape personalized learning once again (Shemshack and Spector, 2020).

At its core, personalized learning is about self-organization and customized instruction that takes into account individual needs and goals. It has the potential to enhance motivation, engagement, and understanding among learners, ultimately maximizing their satisfaction, learning efficiency, and effectiveness (Gómez *et al.*, 2014; Pontual Falcão *et al.*, 2018). However, despite its promise, achieving effective personalized learning remains a significant challenge in modern educational systems.

Personalized learning is driven by the belief that providing the same content to diverse students with varying qualifications, interests, and needs is no longer sufficient. Instead, it aims to tailor learning experiences according to each learner's unique requirements and prior experiences (Truong, 2016; Lee *et al.*, 2018). Customization in personalized learning extends to what is taught, how it is taught, and the pace at which it is delivered. This flexibility allows learning to adapt to individual needs, interests, and circumstances, which can be highly diverse (Liu, M.-T., & Yu, 2011).

The demand for personalized learning has surged, driven by advancements in technology, especially big data and learning analytics (FitzGerald *et al.*, 2018). To be effective, personalized learning must continuously adapt to individual learners' evolving skills, knowledge, interests, and goals (Sampson, Karagiannidis and Kinshuk, 2002).

Today's personalized learning theories draw inspiration from educational philosophy from the progressive era of the previous century, particularly emphasizing experiential, learner-centered, and socially-oriented learning. It aims to create a learner-centered environment that considers each learner's unique characteristics while utilizing the best practices in teaching and learning (Lee *et al.*, 2018).

Despite the growing interest in personalized learning, there remains a lack of consensus on its definition and implementation. It serves as an umbrella term encompassing various educational strategies aimed at accommodating individual abilities, knowledge, and learning needs (Schmid and Petko, 2019).

As technology continues to advance, there is the potential for more robust support for personalized learning (Shemshack and Spector, 2020). However, different terms have been used interchangeably with personalized learning, leading to confusion. Therefore, it is essential to clarify and unify these terms to promote a more coherent understanding of personalized learning.

In summary, personalized learning is an educational approach that centers on tailoring instruction to meet the unique needs of individual learners. It is characterized by adaptability, customization, and a focus on learner-centered education, driven by advancements in technology and the growing recognition of the limitations of one-size-fits-all approaches.

### 3.2 Adaptive Learning

Adaptive learning is an educational approach characterized by its ability to tailor learning experiences to individual students, making it a valuable tool in modern education. While it shares similarities with personalized learning, it has distinct features and applications (Liu *et al.*, 2017). Historically, adaptive learning principles have roots dating back to apprenticeship training and human tutoring. However, it has evolved to address the needs of a larger number of learners, leading to the growing interest in utilizing big data and learning analytics.

Adaptive learning systems are designed to adapt learning content, presentation styles, or learning paths based on individual student profiles, learning progress, or personal factors (Yang, T. C., Hwang, G. J., & Yang, 2013). These systems aim to remove barriers related to time and location by providing an optimal adaptive learning experience that accommodates diverse individual differences, such as gender, learning motivation, cognitive type, and learning style (Wang and Liao, 2011).

In practical terms, adaptive learning systems make use of computerized learning tools that dynamically adjust content and presentation based on students' unique characteristics (Scheiter *et al.*, 2019). This adaptability allows for more effective learning experiences, as it considers individual needs and preferences as well as cognitive and learning styles (Lo, Chan and Yeh, 2012).

Adaptive learning is also known by various labels, including computer-based learning, adaptive educational hypermedia, and intelligent tutoring (Griff and Matter, 2013). While the terms "personalized learning" and "adaptive learning" are distinct, they are often used interchangeably in different studies (Gómez *et al.*, 2014).

In summary, adaptive learning is an educational approach that utilizes computerized systems to customize learning experiences for individual students. It adapts content, presentation, and learning pathways based on students' unique profiles and progress, making it a powerful tool for enhancing learning outcomes in diverse educational contexts.

### 3.3 Customized Learning

Customized learning is an educational approach that centers on creating a learner-centered system to cater to the diverse needs and unlock the full potential of individual learners. This approach involves the development of tailored instructional methods and learning content that align with the unique characteristics and interests of each student (Lee *et al.*, 2018).

In the context described by Lee *et al.* (2018), customized learning is closely intertwined with the concepts of learner-centered learning and personalized learning. These three approaches are considered together to create a holistic educational framework that empowers learners to achieve their full potential.

A key element of customized learning is the implementation of a personalized learning plan (PLP). This plan, as defined by Lee *et al.* (2018), is an instructional strategy that takes into account individual differences, needs, characteristics, interests, and academic proficiency. The PLP encompasses the principles of individualization, differentiation, and personalization, which collectively ensure that the learning experience is not only personally relevant and engaging but also appropriate to the learners' capabilities and respectful of their individual differences. In essence, customized learning aims to make learning both useful and motivational for each student.

In practical terms, customized learning emphasizes adaptability and flexibility in instructional strategies, content delivery, and assessment methods. It aims to create an educational environment where students can actively engage with the material and have a say in how they learn, aligning the learning experience with their individual preferences and strengths. This approach acknowledges that each learner is unique and that their educational journey should reflect their distinct qualities and aspirations.

Customized learning is especially relevant in contemporary education, where diversity in student backgrounds, learning styles, and needs is more pronounced than ever. By tailoring instruction to individual learners, customized learning seeks to optimize learning outcomes and foster a deeper and more meaningful educational experience for each student.

### 3.4 Individualized Instruction

Personalized instruction is an educational approach that places a strong emphasis on meeting the specific needs and goals of individual learners during the instructional process. The U.S. Department of Education (2017) provides a comprehensive definition of personalized learning, which encompasses several key elements:

1. Individualization: Personalized instruction involves tailoring the pace of learning to match the unique requirements and progress of each learner.
2. Differentiation: It includes the adaptation of instructional methods to suit the diverse learning styles and preferences of individual students.
3. Personalization of Content: Personalized learning also encompasses the customization of learning materials and content to align with each learner's interests, readiness level, and academic requirements.

This concept of personalized instruction has evolved from the traditional practice of one-on-one human tutoring. However, there is ongoing debate within the education community about whether individualization should be considered a component of personalized learning or if it can be used interchangeably.

In practice, the distinction between individualized instruction and personalized learning can be somewhat blurred. Some studies, such as those conducted by (Chou *et al.*, 2015), have used both terms without providing a clear delineation between them. This suggests that individualized instruction is sometimes used as a synonym for personalized learning and may be considered a product of personalized learning.

Additionally, individualized instruction is often associated with the development of Individualized Educational Plans (IEPs) specifically designed for students with disabilities. These plans aim to accommodate the unique needs and goals of such students. While individualized instruction is recognized as an effective approach to customize materials and enhance the learning experience, it is not limited to students with disabilities and can benefit all learners (Barrio *et al.*, 2017).

Personalized learning, on the other hand, takes a broader perspective by considering not only individualization but also factors such as students' interests, readiness, motivation, and progress. It situates the learner at the center of the learning process, creating an educational experience that adapts to the student's evolving needs and preferences. However, achieving true personalized learning in traditional school systems with current teacher-student ratios can be challenging without the support of technology, except in cases where IEPs are required by school districts. In these contexts, personalized learning often relies on significant technological support, including the use of big data and learning analytics (U.S. Department of Education, 2017).

In summary, personalized instruction is an educational approach that focuses on meeting the specific needs and goals of individual learners during instruction. While it shares similarities with personalized learning, the distinction between the two terms can vary, and the use of individualized instruction can be considered a component of personalized learning. Both approaches prioritize customization, adaptability, and the learner's role in the educational process, with personalized learning often relying on technology to achieve these goals in formal school systems.

#### 4 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the realm of language education encompasses four distinct yet interconnected approaches: Personalized Learning, Adaptive Learning, Customized Learning, and Individualized Instruction. These approaches all emphasize the importance of tailoring education to suit the unique needs and preferences of individual learners. Personalized Learning, rooted in historical practices like apprenticeships, thrives in today's digital age with its focus on adaptability and learner-centered instruction. Adaptive Learning harnesses technology to customize content and pathways based on individual student profiles, drawing inspiration from earlier tutoring methods. Customized Learning, closely linked with learner-centered and personalized learning, seeks to unlock students' full potential through tailored instructional methods and content. Meanwhile, Individualized Instruction places a strong emphasis on meeting individual needs, often associated with Individualized Educational Plans (IEPs). While these approaches differ in terminology and implementation, they collectively aim to create inclusive, engaging, and effective language education that caters to the diverse needs of today's learners. The choice of approach depends on various factors, but the common goal is to empower learners and promote meaningful learning experiences.

#### REFERENCES

Barrio, B.L. *et al.* (2017) 'Designing Culturally Responsive and Relevant Individualized Educational Programs', *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 53(2), pp. 114–119. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451217693364>.

Bernacki, M. L., & Walkington, C. (no date) 'The role of situational interest in personalized learning', *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 110(6), p. 864.

Chou, C.Y. *et al.* (2015) 'Negotiation based adaptive learning sequences: Combining adaptivity and adaptability', *Computers and Education*, 88, pp. 215–226. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.05.007>.

Essalmi, F. *et al.* (2010) 'A fully personalization strategy of E-learning scenarios', *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(4), pp. 581–591. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.12.010>.

FitzGerald, E. *et al.* (2018) 'Dimensions of personalisation in technology-enhanced learning: A framework and implications for design', *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 49(1), pp. 165–181. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjjet.12534>.

Gómez, S. *et al.* (2014) 'Context-aware adaptive and personalized mobile learning delivery supported by UoLmP', *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, 26(1), pp. 47–61. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2013.10.008>.

Griff, E.R. and Matter, S.F. (2013) 'Evaluation of an adaptive online learning system', *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(1), pp. 170–176. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2012.01300.x>.

Herawati, A. (2023) *Personalized Learning in Teaching English as Foreign Language: Limiting the Challenges, Increasing Its Effectiveness*. Atlantis Press SARL. Available at:

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-054-1\\_2](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-054-1_2).

Ho, Y.Y. and Lim, W.Y.R. (2020) *Educating Adult Learners: Bridging Learners' Characteristics and the Learning Sciences, Diversity and Inclusion in Global Higher Education: Lessons from Across Asia*. Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1628-3\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1628-3_4).

Innovation, C. for E.R. and I. (2016) *Innovating Education and Educating for Innovation*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264265097-en>.

Kerr, P. (2016) 'Adaptive learning', *ELT Journal*, 70(1), pp. 88–93. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccv055>.

Lee, D. et al. (2018) *Technology functions for personalized learning in learner-centered schools, Educational Technology Research and Development*. Springer US. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9615-9>.

Liu, M.-T., & Yu, P.-T. (2011) 'International forum of educational technology & Society aberrant learning achievement Society, detection based on person-fit statistics in personalized e-learning systems', *Journal of Educational Technology &*, 14(1), pp. 107–120. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2307/jeductechsoci.14.1.107>.

Liu, M. et al. (2017) 'Investigating the effect of an adaptive learning intervention on students' learning', *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 65(6), pp. 1605–1625. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-017-9542-1>.

Lo, J.J., Chan, Y.C. and Yeh, S.W. (2012) 'Designing an adaptive web-based learning system based on students' cognitive styles identified online', *Computers and Education*, 58(1), pp. 209–222. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.018>.

Moreno-León, J., Robles, G., & Román-González, M. (2017) 'Towards data-driven learning paths to Computing, develop computational thinking with scratch', *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in*, 8(1), pp. 193–205.

Pontual Falcão, T. et al. (2018) 'Participatory methodologies to promote student engagement in the development of educational digital games', *Computers and Education*, 116, pp. 161–175. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.09.006>.

Sampson, D., Karagiannidis, C. and Kinshuk (2002) 'Personalised learning: Educational, technological and standardisation perspective', *Interactive Educational Multimedia*, 4(4), pp. 24–39. Available at: <http://revistes.ub.edu/index.php/IEM/article/viewFile/11738/14548>.

Samuels, A.J. (2018) 'Exploring Culturally Responsive Pedagogy: Teachers' Perspectives on Fostering Equitable and Inclusive Classrooms', *Srate*, 27(1), pp. 22–30.

Sangeethapriya, S. et al. (2022) 'Literature Review On English As A Second Language In A Multicultural Classroom', (August).

Scheiter, K. et al. (2019) 'Adaptive multimedia: Using gaze-contingent instructional guidance to provide personalized processing support', *Computers and Education*, 139(February), pp. 31–47. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.05.005>.

Schmid, R. and Petko, D. (2019) 'Does the use of educational technology in personalized learning environments correlate with self-reported digital skills and beliefs of secondary-school students?', *Computers and Education*, 136(September 2018), pp. 75–86. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.03.006>.

Shemshack, A. and Spector, J.M. (2020) 'A systematic literature review of personalized learning terms', *Smart Learning Environments*, 7(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-020-00140-9>.

Truong, H.M. (2016) 'Integrating learning styles and adaptive e-learning system: Current developments, problems and opportunities', *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, pp. 1185–1193. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.02.014>.

U.S. Department of Education (2017) *Reimagining the Role of Technology in Education*.

Walkington, C. (2021) 'Making Classroom Learning Personalized', *Policy Brief Series*, 15(4).

Wang, Y.H. and Liao, H.C. (2011) 'Adaptive learning for ESL based on computation', *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(1), pp. 66–87. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00981.x>.

Waxman, H. C., Alford, B. L., Brown, D. B., Hattie, J., & Anderman, E.M. (2013) *Individualized instruction. International guide to student achievement.*.

Woll, B. and Wei, L. (2019) 'Cognitive Benefits of Language Learning : Broadening our perspectives Final Report to the British Academy February 2019 Bencie Woll FBA , Faculty of Brain Sciences , University College London Li Wei , Institute of Education , University College



London', (February), pp. 1–87. Available at: <https://www.thebritishacademy.ac.uk/documents/287/Cognitive-Benefits-Language-Learning-Final-Report.pdf>.

Yang, T. C., Hwang, G. J., & Yang, S.J.H. (2013) 'Development of an adaptive learning system with multiple perspectives based on students' learning styles and cognitive styles', *Educational Technology & Society*, 16(4), pp. 185–200.

Zhang, L., Basham, J.D. and Yang, S. (2020) 'Understanding the implementation of personalized learning: A research synthesis', *Educational Research Review*, 31, p. 100339. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100339>.

Zorlu, A. and Hartog, J. (2021) 'The Impact of Language on Socioeconomic Integration of Immigrants', *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint], (11485). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3170274>.